

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,936	0/618,936 07/14/2003		Andreas Bacher	WAS 0595 PUS	6648
22045	7590	06/16/2005		EXAMINER	
BROOKS K			RONESI, VICKEY M		
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIEI	D, MI	48075	1714	_	

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/618,936	BACHER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this account of the same	Vickey Ronesi	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 1 f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		. .				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat crity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/27/03、11/10/03、12/14/03・	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/618,936 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The Information Disclosure Statements filed 10/27/2003, 11/10/2003, and 12/12/2003 have been considered. On the IDS filed 10/27/2003, that EP 0 076 940 has been stricken since the patent is incorrectly cited (note that the correct patent number is EP 0 076 490 which is cited on IDS filed 11/10/2003). The corresponding Derwent Abstract has also been stricken from the IDS. On the IDS filed 12/12/2003, EP 1 127 706 has been stricken since it was already cited on IDS filed 10/27/2003.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because the language used to describe the number of carbon atoms is consistent, e.g., C_1 to C_3 compared to C_{1-3} . Moreover, the numeral "12" in line 7 of the claim is believed to be extraneous and should be deleted. In addition, the language "be interrupted" in lines 7-8 should be replaced with "is interrupted."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1714

With respect to claim 5, the use of " R_3 " in line 7 of the claim causes confusion since it is not understood how R^3 is itself (but with a subscript rather than a superscript) or if R_3 is the acyl radical. Moreover, the phrases " C_{2-12} acyl radical R_3 optionally be [sic] interrupted by an ether group" in lines 7-8 and "the carbon chain is interrupted by an O or N atom" in line 12-13 cause confusion since it is not clear what is interrupted. In addition, the phrase " C_{1-40} alkoxy group optionally substituted by further heterocycles" in the last line of claim causes confusion since it is not clear whether the heterocycles substitute the C_{1-40} alkoxy groups or if the heterocycles are pendantly attached along the alkoxy group.

With respect to claim 11, it is rejected for being dependent on a rejected claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tschirner et al (US 6,211,289).

Tschiriner et al discloses polyvinyl acetals derived from the acetylization of saponified polyvinyl acetates (i.e., polyvinyl alcohols) containing 1-alkylvinyl acetate-vinyl acetate copolymer in a weight ratio of 1:99 to 40:60 (col. 2, lines 1-44) and additional comonomer units in an amount from 1 to 5 wt % (col. 2, lines 54-59). Note Table 3 bridging cols. 11 and 12 wherein the viscosity and amount of alkylvinyl alcohol for the polyvinyl alcohol is exemplified.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,936 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

Moreover, note that the PVOH is disclosed as being silvlated with bistrimethylsilvlacetamide (col. 11, lines 31-33). Although Tschiriner et al does not teach the relative amount of bistrimethylsilvlacetamide, note that it teaches that additional comonomers are present in an amount from 1 to 5 wt %, wherein the bistrimethylsilvlacetamide is a functional group of the additional comonomer. The polymer is prepared as disclosed in col. 3, lines 6-54.

In light of the above, it is clear that Tschirner et al anticipates the presently cited claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schilling et al (US 4,879,336) in view of Maruyama et al (US 4,617,239).

Schilling et al discloses a coating slip composition for printing bases such as paper (col. 2, lines 27-30) prepared by the method disclosed in col. 3, lines 22-44, wherein the composition comprises a cobinder polymer containing 50-95 wt % vinyl alcohol units, 5-50 wt % of 1-alkylvinyl alcohol units of 1-4 alkyl carbon atoms, and 0-5 wt % of other polymerizable monomers (col. 2, lines 36-65) which is preferably fully saponified with a Hoppler viscosity of 4-10 mPas (col. 1, line 61 to col. 2, line 4).

Art Unit: 1714

Schilling et al does not disclose the use of an ethylenically unsaturated silane-containing monomer, however, note that it is open to the use of any suitable additional monomer (col. 2, lines 45-46).

Maruyama et al discloses a paper coating agent and teaches that a modified polyvinyl alcohol containing silicon (co. 3, line 12 to col. 6, line 28) imparts water resistance, printability, surface strength, and barrier properties to a coated paper (col. 1, lines 9-40; col. 2, lines 8-13) when present in an amount of 0.01-10 mol % of the polyvinyl alcohol (col. 2, lines 58-61). It is presumed that the improvement in the aforementioned properties is due to a reaction between the silicon portion of the modified PVOH with the paper substrate which provides for a firm uniform surface layer that does not penetrate into the paper (col. 9, line 8-26).

Given that Schilling et al is open to the use of another monomer and given the benefits of utilizing an ethylenically unsaturated silane-containing monomer in the PVOH binder as taught by Maruyama et al, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a silane-containing monomer in the polyvinyl alcohol of Schilling et al and thereby arrive at the present invention.

Note that the phrase "suitable for use in ink jet printing" in instant claim 15 is intended use which is given no patentable weight. Reegardless, it is considered that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Schilling et al's paper in such a common paper printing application, there being no evidence or suggestion otherwise.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,936

Art Unit: 1714

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vickey Ronesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2701. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6/9/2005

vr W Vasu Jagannathan
supervisory patent examiner
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Page 6